1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	CENTRAL DISTRIC	
10		CASE NUMBER:
11		
12	Plaintiff v.	
13		
14		ORDER REMANDING CASE TO STATE COURT
15	Defendant(s).	JS-6
16		
17	The Court sua sponte <b>REMANDS</b> this action to the California Superior Court for the	
18	County of for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, as set forth below.	
19	"The right of removal is entirely a creature of statute and 'a suit commenced in a state	
20	court must remain there until cause is shown for its transfer under some act of Congress."	
21	Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. v. Henson, 537 U.S. 28, 32 (2002) (quoting Great Northern R. Co.	
22	v. Alexander, 246 U.S. 276, 280 (1918)). Where Congress has acted to create a right of removal,	
23	those statutes are strictly construed against removal jurisdiction. <u>Id.</u> ; <u>Nevada v. Bank of America</u>	
24	Corp., 672 F.3d 661, 667 (9th Cir. 2012); Gaus v. Miles, Inc., 980 F.2d 564, 566 (9th Cir. 1992).	
25	Unless otherwise expressly provided by Congress, a defendant may remove "any civil	
26	action brought in a State court of which the district courts of the United States have original	
27	jurisdiction." 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); <u>Dennis v. Hart</u> , 724 F.3d 1249, 1252 (9th Cir. 2013). The	
28	removing defendant bears the burden of establishing federal jurisdiction. Abrego Abrego v.	

1	☐ Diversity jurisdiction is lacking:	
2	Every defendant is not alleged to be diverse from every plaintiff. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).	
3		
5	The Complaint does not allege damages in excess of \$75,000, and removing defendant(s) has not plausibly alleged that the amount in controversy requirement	
6	has been met. <u>Id.</u> ; <u>see Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co., LLC v. Owens</u> , No. 13-719, 2014 WL 7010692, at *6 (U.S. Dec. 15, 2014).	
7 8	The underlying unlawful detainer action is a limited civil action that does not exceed \$25,000.	
9	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this matter be, and hereby is, REMANDED to the Superior	
10	Court of California listed above, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.	
11	II IT IS SO OPDERED	
12	Date:	
13 14	United States District Judge	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		